IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Title:

THERMOSETTING MATERIAL BASED ON ANTIPLASTICIZED

POLYTHIOURETHANE AND OPHTHALMIC LENS COMPRISING AN

ANTIPLASTICIZED THERMOSETTING MATERIAL

Appl. No.:

10/595,265

Applicants:

Droger et al.

Filed:

April 3, 2006

TC/A.U.:

1796

Examiner:

Niland, Patrick Dennis

Docket No.:

ESSR:112US

Customer No.:

32425

Confirmation No.

6405

CERTIFICATE OF ELECTRONIC TRANSMISSION 37 C.F.R. \$1.8

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being electronically filed with the United States Patent and Trademark Office via EFS Wab on the date below:

March 17, 2008

Date

SUBMISSION UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.114

MAIL STOP RCE

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Applicant submits this Submission under 37 C.F.R. § 1.114 in response to the Final Office Action mailed November 16, 2007, for which the response date is March 17, 2008, along with the payment of a one-month extension of time. Applicant is concurrently filing the following documents along with this Submission: (1) Petition for One Month Extension of Time; and (2) Request for Continued Examination ("RCE"). The required large entity status fees are being charged to a credit card through EFS-Web. The Commissioner is authorized to deduct any underpayment of fees or any additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.16 to 1.21 with the filing of this paper from Fulbright & Jaworski Deposit Account No. 50-1212/ESSR:112US.

Applicant notes that this Submission is identical to the response to the Final Office

Action filed on February 19, 2008. Given that the Examiner has not issued an Office Action for

the response filed on February 19, 2008 (which is not required in view of the Finality of the last

Office Action), Applicant is submitting this Submission to ensure that the response and claim

amendments are made of record for this case.

Amendments to the Claims are reflected in the listing of claims which begins on page 3.

As explained below, the claim amendments incorporate the subject matter that has been allowed

in this case. That is, these amendments comply with 37 C.F.R. § 1.116(b)(1) in that they comply

with the Examiner's statement made on page 9 of the Final Office Action that claims 40-46, 52-

61, and 82 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of

the base claim and any intervening claims. Final Office Action at page 9. Therefore, Applicants

request that these amendments be made of record and the case be allowed to proceed to issuance.

Remarks/Arguments begin on page 13.

65135569.1

-2-